CYTOSKELETAL MECHANICS

This book presents a full spectrum of views on current approaches to modeling cell mechanics. The authors of this book come from the biophysics, bioengineering, and physical chemistry communities and each joins the discussion with a unique perspective on biological systems. Consequently, the approaches range from finite element methods commonly used in continuum mechanics to models of the cytoskeleton as a cross-linked polymer network to models of glassy materials and gels. Studies reflect both the static, instantaneous nature of the structure, as well as its dynamic nature due to polymerization and the full array of biological processes. While it is unlikely that a single unifying approach will evolve from this diversity, it is our hope that a better appreciation of the various perspectives will lead to a highly coordinated approach to exploring the essential problems and better discussions among investigators with differing views.

Mohammad R. K. Mofrad is Assistant Professor of Bioengineering at the University of California, Berkeley, where he is also director of Berkeley Biomechanics Research Laboratory. After receiving his PhD from the University of Toronto he was a post-doctoral Fellow at Harvard Medical School and a principal research scientist at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Roger D. Kamm is the Germeshausen Professor of Mechanical and Biological Engineering in the Department of Mechanical Engineering and the Biological Engineering Division at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

P1: JZZ/JZK P2: JZZ CUFX003/Kamm-FM CUFX003/Kamm 0 521 84637 0

June 8, 2006 17:53

Cytoskeletal Mechanics

MODELS AND MEASUREMENTS

Edited by

MOHAMMAD R. K. MOFRAD

University of California, Berkeley

ROGER D. KAMM

Massachusetts Institute of Technology



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521846370

© Cambridge University Press 2006

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2006

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Cytoskeletal mechanics : models and measurements / Mohammad R. K. Mofrad and Roger D. Kamm, Editors.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN-13: 978-0-521-84637-0 (hardback)

ISBN-10: 0-521-84637-4 (hardback)

II. Kamm, Roger D. III. Title.

QH603.C96C962 2006

571.6′54 – dc22 2006001153

ISBN-13 978-0-521-84637-0 hardback

ISBN-10 0-521-84637-4 hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

List of Contributors		page vii
Preface ix		
1	Introduction, with the biological basis for cell mechanics Roger D. Kamm and Mohammad R. K. Mofrad	1
2	Experimental measurements of intracellular mechanics Paul Janmey and Christoph Schmidt	18
3	The cytoskeleton as a soft glassy material Jeffrey Fredberg and Ben Fabry	50
4	Continuum elastic or viscoelastic models for the cell Mohammed R. K. Mofrad, Helene Karcher, and Roger D. Kamm	71
5	Multiphasic models of cell mechanics Farshid Guilak, Mansoor A. Haider, Lori A. Setton, Tod A. Laursen, and Frank P. T. Baaijens	84
6	Models of cytoskeletal mechanics based on tensegrity Dimitrije Stamenović	103
7	Cells, gels, and mechanics Gerald H. Pollack	129
8	Polymer-based models of cytoskeletal networks F. C. MacKintosh	152
9	Cell dynamics and the actin cytoskeleton James L. McGrath and C. Forbes Dewey, Jr.	170
10	Active cellular protrusion: continuum theories and models Marc Herant and Micah Dembo	204
11	Summary Mohammad R. K. Mofrad and Roger D. Kamm	225
Index		231

P1: JZZ/JZK P2: JZZ CUFX003/Kamm-FM CUFX003/Kamm 0 521 84637 0

June 8, 2006 17:53

Contributors

FRANK P. T. BAAIJENS

Department of Biomedical Engineering Eindhoven University of Technology

MICAH DEMBO

Department of Biomedical Engineering Boston University

C. FORBES DEWEY, JR.

Department of Mechanical Engineering and Biological Engineering Division Massachusetts Institute of Technology

BEN FABRY

School of Public Health Harvard University

JEFFREY FREDBERG School of Public Health Harvard University

FARSHID GUILAK
Department of Surgery
Duke University Medical Center

MANSOOR A. HAIDER
Department of Mathematics
North Carolina State University

MARC HERANT
Department of Biomedical Engineering
Boston University

PAUL JAMNEY

Institute for Medicine and Engineering University of Pennsylvania

ROGER D. KAMM

Department of Mechanical Engineering and Biological Engineering Division

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

HELENE KARCHER

Biological Engineering Division Massachusetts Institute of Technology

TOD A. LAURSEN

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Duke University

F. C. MACKINTOSH

Division of Physics and Astronomy Vrije Universiteit

JAMES L. MCGRATH

Department of Biomedical Engineering University of Rochester

MOHAMMAD R. K. MOFRAD
Department of Bioengineering
University of California, Berkeley

P1: JZZ/JZK P2: JZ CUFX003/Kamm-FM P2: JZZ

CUFX003/Kamm $0\;521\;84637\;0$ June 8, 2006 17:53

viii Contributors

GERALD H. POLLACK

Department of Bioengineering University of Washington

CHRISTOPH SCHMIDT

Institute for Medicine and Engineering

University of Pennsylvania

LORI A. SETTON

Department of Biomedical Engineering

Duke University

DIMITRIJE STAMENOVIĆ

Department of Biomedical Engineering

Boston University

June 8, 2006

Preface

Although the importance of the cytoskeleton in fundamental cellular processes such as migration, mechanotransduction, and shape stability have long been appreciated, no single theoretical or conceptual model has emerged to become universally accepted. Instead, a collection of structural models has been proposed, each backed by compelling experimental data and each with its own proponents. As a result, a consensus has not yet been reached on a single description, and the debate continues.

One reason for the diversity of opinion is that the cytoskeleton plays numerous roles and it has been examined from a variety of perspectives. Some biophysicists see the cytoskeleton as a cross-linked, branched polymer and have extended previous models for polymeric chains to describe the actin cytoskeleton. Structural engineers have drawn upon approaches that either treat the filamentous matrix as a continuum, above some critical length scale, or as a collection of struts or beams that resist deformation by the bending stiffness of each element. Others observe the similarity between the cell and large-scale structures whose mechanical integrity is derived from the balance between elements in tension and others in compression. And still others see the cytoskeleton as a gel, which utilizes the potential for phase transition to accomplish some of its dynamic processes. Underlying all of this complexity is the knowledge that the cell is alive and is constantly changing its properties, actively, as a consequence of many environmental factors. The ultimate truth, if indeed there is a single explanation for all the observed phenomena, likely lies somewhere among the existing theories.

As with the diversity of models, a variety of experimental approaches have been devised to probe the structural characteristics of a cell. And as with the models, different experimental approaches often lead to different findings, often due to the fact that interpretation of the data relies on use of one or another of the theories. But more than that, different experiments often probe the cell at very different length scales, and this is bound to lead to variations depending on whether the measurement is influenced by local structures such as the adhesion complexes that bind a bead to the cell.

We began this project with the intent of presenting in a single text the many and varied ways in which the cytoskeleton is viewed, in the hope that such a collection would spur on new experiments to test the theories, or the development of new theories

x Preface

themselves. We viewed this as an ongoing debate, where one of the leading proponents of each viewpoint could present their most compelling arguments in support of their model, so that members of the larger scientific community could form their own opinions.

As such, this was intended to be a monograph that captured the current state of a rapidly moving field. Since we began this project, however, it has been suggested that this book could fill a void in the area of cytoskeletal mechanics and might be useful as a text for courses taught specifically on the mechanics of a cell, or more broadly in courses that cover a range of topics in biomechanics. In either case, our hope is that this presentation might prove stimulating and educational to engineers, physicists, and biologists wishing to expand their understanding of the critical importance of mechanics in cell function, and the various ways in which it might be understood.

Finally, we wish to express our deepest gratitude to Peter Gordon and his colleagues at Cambridge University Press, who provided us with the encouragement, technical assistance, and overall guidance that were essential to the ultimate success of this endeavor. In addition, we would like to acknowledge Peter Katsirubas at Techbooks, who steered us through the final stages of editing.